

PEER REVIEW EVALUATION REPORT

This is to inform you that your name has been put forward by the Editors of *Oriente Moderno* (website: <http://www.ipocan.it>) to act as a referee for the enclosed article/monograph. You are kindly requested to read it carefully and to forward your advice to the Editors by e-mail within three weeks. Should you have already evaluated elsewhere the enclosed paper/monograph, please let us know and disregard the present request.

Your name will be kept strictly confidential, as also will the author's name. We are accordingly removing from the enclosed paper/monograph any detail which might lead to identification of the author.

Your comments and suggestions will be forwarded to the Author, pointing out that they are expert advice from a specialist of the discipline.

Please fill in the following 4 forms by highlighting the relevant items, writing any remarks in each corresponding field and adding free comments and suggestions in the final paragraph. Direct references as detailed as possible to the text of the article are welcome.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.

The Editors

Title of the refereed paper/monograph:

Referee:

Sent on:

Returned on:

Subject

1. Relevance of the subject for the history of the discipline:

Weak ⑥	Satisfactory ⑥	Strong ⑥	Excellent ⑥
--------	----------------	----------	-------------

Remarks:

2. Relevance of the topic for the specialized sector:

Weak ⑥	Satisfactory ⑥	Strong ⑥	Excellent ⑥
--------	----------------	----------	-------------

Remarks:

3. Innovative contribution of the article (if a research paper or monograph), or effectiveness and helpfulness (in case of introductory or review article):

Weak ⑥	Satisfactory ⑥	Strong ⑥	Excellent ⑥
--------	----------------	----------	-------------

Remarks:

RESEARCH

1. Adequacy in outlining the methodological issue:

Weak ⑥	Improvable ⑥	Adequate ⑥	Excellent ⑥
--------	--------------	------------	-------------

Remarks:

2. Originality and qualities of the proposed results:

Weak ⑥	Improvable ⑥	Adequate ⑥	Excellent ⑥
--------	--------------	------------	-------------

Remarks:

--

ARGUMENTATION AND WRITING FORM

1. Clarity, consistency and inclusivity of outline and argument:

Weak ⑥	Improvable ⑥	Adequate ⑥	Excellent ⑥
--------	--------------	------------	-------------

Remarks:

--

2. How adequate do you judge the form of the text in outlining the topic of the article/monograph?

Weak ⑥	Improvable ⑥	Adequate ⑥	Excellent ⑥
--------	--------------	------------	-------------

Remarks:

--

3. Writing clarity and appropriateness of language

Weak ⑥	Improvable ⑥	Adequate ⑥	Excellent ⑥
--------	--------------	------------	-------------

Remarks:

--

Overall Evaluation

Finally, is the text fit for publication in *Oriente Moderno*?

- [1] Yes, it can be published as it stands. ⑥
- [2] Yes, it can be published without further reviews, but for some limited corrections, as they are spelt out in the enclosed remarks. ⑥
- [3] Yes, it can be published, provided the author addresses and solves the problems that I have raised in my remarks, and after my second review. ⑥ recommendation
- [4] No, the article does not seem fit for publication in *Oriente Moderno*. ⑥

Free Remarks

Your remarks will be forwarded anonymously to the author and, in the case of an at least partially positive evaluation, will help him/her in revising the article. If you believe that revisions (however heavy) are needed, you are kindly requested to point out any flaw detected and suggest the relevant revisions. Even if you do not believe that the contribution meets the journal standards (answer [4]), you are kindly requested to explain briefly the reasons for your evaluation.

Date

Signature

Please e-mail your correspondence to:

ipocan@ipocan.it