

Rassegna di Studi Etiopici
PEER REVIEW EVALUATION REPORT

This is to inform you that your name has been put forward by the Editorial Board of the *Rassegna di Studi Etiopici* to act as a referee for the enclosed article. You are kindly requested to read it carefully and to forward your advice by e-mail within three weeks or as agreed with the Editorial Board. Should you have already evaluated elsewhere the enclosed paper, please let us know and turn down the present engagement.

Your name will be kept strictly confidential, as much as the author's name. We are accordingly removing from the enclosed paper any detail which might lead to identify the author. Your comments and suggestions will be forwarded to the Author, pointing out that they are expert advice from a specialist of the discipline.

Please fill in the following 4 forms by highlighting the relevant items, writing any remarks in each corresponding field and adding free comments and suggestions in the final paragraph. Direct references as detailed as possible to the text of the article are welcome. Thank you very much for your cooperation.

*** **

Title of the refereed paper:

Referee:

Sent on: Returned on:

*** **

Subject

1. Relevance of the subject for the history of the discipline:

Weak Satisfying Strong

Remarks:

2. Relevance of the topic for the specialized sector:

Weak Satisfying Strong

Remarks:

3. Innovative contribution of the article (if a research paper), or effectiveness and helpfulness (in case of introductory or review article):

Weak Satisfying Strong

Remarks:

Research

1. Adequacy in outlining the methodological issue:

Weak Improvable Adequate Strong

Remarks:

2. Originality and qualities of the proposed results:

Weak Improvable Adequate Strong

Remarks:

Argumentation and Writing form

1. Clarity, consistency and inclusivity of outline and argument:

Weak Improvable Adequate Strong

Remarks:

2. How is the writing form adequate for outlining the article's topic?

Weak Improvable Adequate Strong

Remarks:

3. Writing clarity and appropriateness of language

Weak Improvable Adequate Strong

Remarks:

Overall Evaluation

Finally, is the text fit for publication in the *Rassenga di Studi Etiopici*?

- [1] Yes, it can be published as it stands.
- [2] Yes, it can be published without further reviews, but for some limited corrections, as they are spelt out in the enclosed remarks.
- [3] Yes, it can be published, provided the author faces and solves the problems that I have raised in my remarks, and after my second review.
- [4] No, the article does not seem fit for publication in the *Rassegna di Studi Etiopici*.

Free Remarks

Your remarks will be forwarded anonymously to the author and, in case of an at least partially positive evaluation, will help him/her in revising the article. If you believe that revisions (however heavy) are needed, you are kindly requested to point out any detected flaw and to suggested the relevant revisions.

Even if you do not believe that the contribution fits the journal standards (answer [4]) you are kindly requested to briefly explain the reasons of your evaluation.

Date

Signature

Please e-mail your correspondence to:
